

Invariants of Lagrangian surfaces

Mei-Lin Yau

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824
Email: yau@math.msu.edu

Abstract

We define a nonnegative integer $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi)$ for a pair of diffeomorphic closed Lagrangian surfaces L_0, L embedded in a symplectic 4-manifold (M, ω) and a diffeomorphism $\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(M)$ satisfying $\phi(L_0) = L$. We prove that if there exists $\phi \in \text{Diff}_o^+(M)$ with $\phi(L_0) = L$ and $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi) = 0$, then L_0, L are symplectomorphic. We also define a second invariant $n(L_1, L_0; [L_t]) = n(L_1, L_0, [\phi_t])$ for a smooth isotopy $L_t = \phi_t(L_0)$ between two Lagrangian surfaces L_0 and L_1 with $\lambda(L_1, L_0; \phi_1) = 0$, which serves as an obstruction of deforming L_t to a Lagrangian isotopy with L_0, L_1 preserved.

1 Introduction

One subtle question in symplectic topology is to find the fine line between symplectic topology and differential topology. For example, what are the things that can be done diffeomorphically but not symplectically? The objects to be tested on are embedded compact Lagrangian surfaces. In their own worlds, individual Lagrangian surfaces do not know the existence of symplectic structures, until they try to communicate with each other via diffeomorphisms and/or homotopies. Diffeomorphic Lagrangian surfaces may be surprised to find that they live in quite different neighborhoods dictated by symplectic structures. Even if this is not the case, smoothly isotopic Lagrangian surfaces may still find that they are destined to meet the symplectic structure (by becoming non-Lagrangian) before they meet each other, no matter which path they choose. Such phenomena have been explored and studied by Fintushel-Stern [2] and Seidel [6] (see also [1]).

In this note we construct two invariants of *embedded* compact Lagrangian surfaces to address the two questions described above:

- When two diffeomorphic Lagrangian surfaces are symplectomorphic?

- When two smoothly isotopic Lagrangian surfaces are Lagrangian isotopic?

Here compact Lagrangian surfaces L_0, L_1 in a symplectic 4-manifold (M, ω) are said to be smoothly isotopic if there exists between L_0 and L_1 a smooth homotopy consists of embeddings. This is equivalent to the existence of a smooth family $\phi_t \in \text{Diff}^+(M)$ with $\phi_0 = id$ and $\phi_1(L_0) = L_1$. L_0, L_1 are Lagrangian isotopic if the smooth isotopy consists of Lagrangian surfaces.

Let L_0, L be two embedded Lagrangian surface such that $L = \phi(L_0)$ for some $\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(M)$. The first invariant $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi)$ we construct is really a generalization of the $\lambda(T)$ invariant (for Lagrangian torus T) constructed by Fintushel and Stern [2]. This invariant is related to the first question we mentioned above. In its most general form, it is really an invariant of a pair of diffeomorphic Lagrangian surfaces of positive genus (the invariant is trivial when the genus is 0) together with a diffeomorphism between them.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Diff}_o^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L) &:= \{\phi \in \text{Diff}_o^+(M) \mid \phi(L_0) = L\} \\ \text{Symp}(M, \omega) &:= \{\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(M) \mid \phi^*\omega = \omega\}\end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{Diff}_o^+(M)$ denotes the connected component of $\text{Diff}^+(M)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let L_0, L be two closed Lagrangian surfaces embedded in a symplectic 4-manifold (M, ω) . Assume that $\text{Diff}_o^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\phi \in \text{Diff}_o^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L)$ is homotopic in $\text{Diff}_o^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L)$ to some $\psi \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ iff $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi) = 0$.*

Remark 1.1. The invariant $\lambda(L, L_0; \cdot)$ actually assigned to each connected component of $\text{Diff}_o^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L)$ a nonnegative integer. Theorem 1.1 then says that this number is 0 iff the corresponding connected component of $\text{Diff}_o^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L)$ contains an element of $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$.

The construction of $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi)$ implies that $\lambda(L, L_0; \cdot) = 0$ if L_0, L are of genus 0, i.e., if L_0, L are embedded Lagrangian spheres. Hence we have the following

Corollary 1.1. *Let L_0, L be two embedded lagrangian spheres in a symplectic 4-manifold (M, ω) . Suppose that L_0, L are smoothly isotopic, then L_0, L are symplectomorphic.*

The second invariant $n(L_1, L_0; [L_t])$ (see Section 3) seems related to the generalized Dehn twist considered by Seidel [6]. It is an invariant of a smooth

homotopy between two Lagrangian surfaces, hence is related to the second question above.

The organization of this article is as follows: In Section 2 we construct a local version of λ on cotangent bundles T^*L and then introduce the definition of $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi)$. The rest of Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The invariant $n(L_1, L_0; [L_t])$ is constructed in Section 3, followed by some discussions and final remarks.

Acknowledgment

We would like express our many thanks to Ronald Fintushel whose inspirational seminar talk on invariants of Lagrangian tori (see [2]) prompted our interest in this direction. We also grateful to John McCarthy for very helpful discussions and comments.

2 The first invariant

2.1 Local definition of $\lambda(L, \omega', \omega)$

Let L be a closed orientable surface and T^*L denotes the cotangent bundles of L . Let λ_{can} denote the canonical 1-form Let $\omega_{\text{can}} = -d\lambda_{\text{can}}$ denote the canonical symplectic 2-form on T^*L . Let x be a local coordinate on L and $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be a local coordinate on the fiber T_x^*L , then (x, y) are local coordinates of T^*L , and $\lambda_{\text{can}}, \omega_{\text{can}}$ are given by the formulae

$$\lambda_{\text{can}} = ydx, \quad \omega_{\text{can}} = dx \wedge dy$$

Let us fix an orientation for T^*L so that ω_{can}^2 becomes a volume form on T^*L . we will consider only symplectic 2-forms ω on T^*L with $\omega^2 > 0$. View L as the zero section of T^*L . Let ω be a symplectic 2-form on T^*L with L a Lagrangian surface. With local coordinates (x, y) for T^*L we have the following isomorphisms

$$T_{(x,0)}T^*L \cong T_xL \oplus T_x^*L \tag{1}$$

$$T_{(x,0)}^*T^*L \cong T_x^*L \oplus T_xL \tag{2}$$

Being symplectic, ω induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(x,y)}T^*L &\rightarrow T_{(x,y)}^*T^*L \\ v &\rightarrow \omega(v, \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (1) and (2) ω induces a bundle isomorphism

$$\Omega_\omega : T_x L \rightarrow T_x^* L, \quad \Omega(v) := \omega(v, \cdot) \text{ for } v \in T_x L.$$

If we fix a Riemannian metric on L and let g denote the induced Riemannian metric on T^*L the cotangent bundle, then the splitting in (1) is orthogonal.

Let ω' be another symplectic 2-form on T^*L with L Lagrangian, then

$$\Omega_{\omega', \omega} := \Omega_{\omega'} \circ \Omega_\omega^{-1} : T_x^* L \rightarrow T_x^* L$$

is an orientation-preserving linear automorphism for every $x \in L$, hence is a section of the trivial $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle over L . By fixing a trivialization of the bundle we get

$$\Omega_{\omega', \omega} : L \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{R}). \quad (3)$$

Since $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is homotopic to S^1 , the homotopy class of the map (3) is classified by

$$[L, S^1] \cong H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$$

where g is the genus of L , and is independent of the choice of the trivialization of the trivial $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle. Note that the isomorphism $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ depends on a choice of a basis for $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. It is well-known that $\text{Diff}^+(L)$ acts on $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ as the integral $2g \times 2g$ symplectic group $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. For each $0 \neq \sigma \in H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ there is a unique positive integer $m(\sigma)$ such that $\sigma = m(\sigma)\sigma'$ where $\sigma' \in H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive. We call $m(\sigma)$ the *multiplicity* of σ . The multiplicity of $0 \in H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ is defined to be 0.

Obviously, $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial if $L = S^2$ is the 2-sphere. In this case $\lambda(S^2, \omega', \omega) = 0$ is trivial.

Definition 2.1. Assume that the genus of L is positive. Let $\sigma \in H^1(L, \mathbb{Z})$ be the class representing $\Omega_{\omega', \omega}$. Define

$$\lambda(L, \omega', \omega) := m(\sigma)$$

Lemma 2.1. $\lambda(L, \omega', \omega) = \lambda(L, \omega, \omega')$.

Remark 2.1. When $L = T^2$ is of genus 1, then TT^2 and T^*T^2 are trivial $\mathbb{R}^2$ -bundles over T^2 . With trivializations of TT^2 and T^*T^2 fixed, the orientation-preserving bundle isomorphism $\Omega_\omega := TT^2 \rightarrow T^*T^2$ then induces a map $T^2 \rightarrow GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ and hence an absolute invariant $\lambda(L, \omega) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is also defined. In particular we have $\lambda(T^2, \omega_{\text{can}}) = 0$. This invariant $\lambda(T^2, \omega)$ is actually the λ -invariant defined by Fintushel and Stern [2]. Our construction here can be thought as a relative extension of the Fintushel-Stern invariant to Lagrangian surfaces of any positive genus.

2.2 Realization of $\lambda(L, \omega', \omega)$

Consider the projection $\pi : T^*L \rightarrow L$, $(x, y) \rightarrow x$, and its differential

$$d\pi(x, y) : T_{(x, y)}T^*L \rightarrow T_xL. \quad (4)$$

For each $\rho : T^*L \rightarrow T^*L$ which is an orientation-preserving linear bundle automorphism over L , we define a 1-form $\lambda_\rho \in \Omega^1(T^*L)$ by

$$\lambda_\rho(x, y) = \rho(y) \circ d\pi(x, y) : T_{(x, y)}T^*L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and denote its negative differential $\omega_\rho := -d\lambda_\rho$. In particular, if $\rho = id$ then $\omega_\rho = \omega_{\text{can}}$ the canonical symplectic 2-form on T^*L . Note that for each ρ as defined above, ω_ρ is symplectic and has the zero section L as a Lagrangian surface.

Here is a way of constructing ω from ω_{can} and a smooth map $\rho : L \rightarrow S^1$. Fix a Riemannian metric g_o on L . g_o induces a Riemannian metric on T^*L . For any $\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(L)$ and any smooth map $\rho : L \rightarrow S^1$ consider the diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : T^*L &\rightarrow T^*L \\ \Phi(x, y) &:= (\phi(x), e^{2\pi i \rho(\phi(x))}(\phi^{-1})^*y), \quad p \in T_q^*L \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the polar coordinates for $T_x^*L \cong \mathbb{C}$. Clearly $\Phi(L) = L$. Let $\omega := \Phi^*\omega_{\text{can}}$. Then L is also ω -Lagrangian. Then $\lambda(L, \omega, \omega_{\text{can}})$ is the multiplicity of the element in $H^1(L, \mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to ρ . Note that $\omega = \omega_{\text{can}}$ if ρ is a constant map.

2.3 The definition of $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi)$

The construction of $\lambda(L, \omega', \omega)$ in Section 2.1 can be applied straight forwardly to define an invariant $\lambda(L, L_0, \phi)$ for a pair of diffeomorphic Lagrangian surfaces $L_0, L = \phi(L_0)$ in a symplectic 4-manifold (M, ω) and $\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(M)$. We assume that L_0 is embedded and compact. Let $\omega_1 := (\phi^{-1})^*\omega$. Then we define

$$\lambda(L, L_0, \phi) := \lambda(L, \omega_1, \omega)$$

where $\lambda(L, \omega_1, \omega)$ is defined by using the fact that a tiny tubular neighborhood of L is symplectomorphic to a tiny tubular neighborhood of the zero section of T^*L . This is really the *Lagrangian Neighborhood Theorem* due to Weinstein [7]. We state the theorem here for future reference (see [4]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and $L \subset M$ a compact Lagrangian submanifold. Then there exists a neighborhood $U \subset T^*L$ of the zero section, a neighborhood $V \subset M$ of L , and a diffeomorphism $\Phi : U \rightarrow V$ such that*

$$\Phi^*\omega = -d\lambda_{can}, \quad \Phi|_L = id,$$

where λ_{can} is the canonical 1-form on T^*L .

2.4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We start with the following lemma which is an easy consequence of the definition of $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi)$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $\phi \in \text{Diff}_o^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L)$ is homotopic in $\text{Diff}_o^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L)$ to some $\psi \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ then $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi) = 0$.*

The following Lemma will be frequently used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.3 (Lemma 3.14 of [4]). *Let M be a $2n$ -dimensional smooth manifold and $L \subset M$ be a compact submanifold. Suppose that $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in \Omega^2(M)$ are closed 2-forms such that at each point q of L the forms ω_0 and ω_1 are equal and nondegenerate on T_qM . Then there exist open neighborhoods $\mathcal{N}_0$ and $\mathcal{N}_1$ of L and a diffeomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$ such that*

$$\psi|_L = id, \quad \psi^*\omega_1 = \omega_0.$$

Let us also recall Moser's argument on the isotopy of symplectic forms ([5],[4]). Here we follow the presentation in [4]. For every family of symplectic forms $\omega_t \in \Omega^2(M)$ with an exact derivative $\frac{d\omega_t}{dt} = d\sigma_t$ there exists $\phi_t \in \text{Diff}(M)$ such that $\phi_t^*\omega_t = \omega_0$. ϕ_t can be chosen to be the time t map of the flow of a time dependent vector field X_t , i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi_t = X_t \circ \phi_t, \quad \phi_0 = id,$$

where X_t satisfies the equation

$$d(\sigma_t + \iota(X_t)\omega_t) = 0$$

In particular, since ω_t is nondegenerate there exists a unique X_t satisfying

$$-\sigma_t = \iota(X_t)\omega_t$$

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi) = 0$ then ϕ can be smoothly isotoped to ψ with L fixed by the isotopy such that*

$$(\psi^{-1})^*\omega = w \quad \text{near } L_0$$

Proof. Recall that

$$T_L M \cong T_L(T^*L) = TL \oplus T^*L$$

Since $\lambda(L, \omega_1, w) = \lambda(L, L_0; \phi) = 0$,

$$\rho := \Omega_{\omega_1, w} : T^*L \rightarrow T^*L$$

is homotopic to the identity map. Fix a trivialization of $TL \otimes T^*L$ then ρ becomes a map $L \rightarrow GL^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ and is represented by $A := (a_{ij}) \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ which depends smoothly on $x \in L$. We may assume that $\omega = -d\lambda_{\text{can}}$ near L . Since L is ω_1 -Lagrangian, ω_1 is exact near L . Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ be a smooth function supported in a small tubular neighborhood of $L \subset M$ and $f = 1$ near L . Define

$$\tau := \omega_1 + d(f\rho(y) \circ d\pi)$$

$\tau \in \Omega^2(M)$ is a closed 2-form which is exact near L and $\tau|_{TL} = 0$. Near L we have $\tau = d\theta$ for some 1-form θ defined near L . Let $i : L \hookrightarrow M$ denote the inclusion of L . Then $i^*\theta$ is a closed 1-form on L . Then near L we have

$$\omega = -d\lambda_{\text{can}}, \quad \omega_1 = -d(\rho(y) \circ d\pi) + d\theta$$

Let $\rho_t : T^*L \rightarrow T^*L$, $t \in [0, 1]$, be a smooth homotopy of linear automorphisms between $\rho_0 := \rho$ and $\rho_1 := id$. By using Theorem 2.1 we can consider a family of symplectic 2-forms defined near L :

$$\omega_t := -d(\rho_t(y) \circ d\pi) + td\theta.$$

Consider the vector field Y_t defined by

$$-\frac{d\rho_t}{dt} \circ d\pi + \theta = \iota(Y_t)\omega_t$$

Note that $Y_t = 0$ on L for all t .

Now consider a smooth time dependent vector field X_t supported in a tubular neighborhood of L such that on $X_t = Y_t$ on L . Define ϕ'_t to be the time t map of the flow of X_t . ϕ'_t fixes L pointwise for all t , $\phi'_0 = id$. We obtain an isotopy $\phi'_t \circ \phi$ between ϕ and $\phi'_1 \circ \phi$ such that $\psi' := \phi'_1 \circ \phi$ satisfies

$$(\psi')^*\omega = \omega \quad \text{on } L_0$$

By Lemma 2.3 we can further isotope ψ' , with L fixed, to a diffeomorphism ψ such that $\psi(L_0) = L$ and $\psi^*\omega = \omega$ near L_0 . $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let ϕ be as in Lemma 2.4. Assume that $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi) = 0$ and $\phi \in \text{Diff}_0^+(M)$, then there exists $\Phi_t \in \text{Diff}_0^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, such that*

$$\Phi_0 = \phi, \quad (\Phi_1^{-1})^* \omega = \omega.$$

That is, L is symplectomorphic to L_0 .

Proof. Let $\phi_t \in \text{Diff}_0^+(M)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, be a smooth homotopy between $\phi_0 := \text{id}$ and $\phi_1 := \phi$. Denote $\omega_t := (\phi_t^{-1})^* \omega$. $w_0 = w_1$ near L . Since $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi) = 0$, by Lemma 2.4 we may assume that $\omega_t = \omega_0$ and $\omega_{1-t} = w_1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq \delta_o$ for some constant $\delta_o > 0$. L need not be ω_t -Lagrangian for $t \in (\delta_o, 1 - \delta_o)$.

Write $w_t = \omega + d\alpha_t$ with $\alpha_t \in \Omega^1(M)$, $\alpha_0 = 0$. Since $w_1 = w_0$ near L , $d\alpha_1 = 0$ near L . Let $i : L \hookrightarrow M$ denote the embedding of L , and $\sigma_t := i^* \alpha_t$. Then $\sigma_0 = 0$, σ_1 is a closed 1-form on L .

Claim: There exists a closed 1-form $\beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ such that $i^* \beta = \sigma_1$.

Proof of the Claim.

Consider the long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(M) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^1(L) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^2(M, L) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (5)$$

Since $\delta^*[\sigma_1] = [d\alpha_1] = 0$, $[\sigma_1] = \iota^* c$ for some $c \in H^1(M)$. Hence there exists a closed 1-form $\beta' \in \Omega^1(M)$, $[\beta'] = c$, such that $i^* \beta' = \sigma_1 + df$ for some $f \in C^\infty(L)$. Extend f to be a smooth function (also denoted by f) on M . Define $\beta := \beta' - df$. Then $\beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ is closed and $i^* \beta = \sigma_1$. $\square$

Subtract $t\beta$ from α_t and still call the resulting 1-form α_t . Then

$$\omega_t = \omega_0 + d\alpha_t, \quad \alpha_0 = 0, \quad \sigma_0 = i^* \alpha_0 = 0, \quad \sigma_1 = i^* \alpha_1 = 0.$$

Recall the projection $\pi : T^*L \rightarrow L$. Let h be a smooth function on T^*L that is compactly supported in a tiny tubular neighborhood of the zero section L and satisfies $h = 1$ near L . By Lemma 2.3 the 1-form $\gamma_t := h\pi^* \sigma_t$ is a 1-form supported in a tubular neighborhood of $L \subset M$ such that $i^* \gamma_t = \sigma_t$. For each t consider the time independent vector field X_t defined by

$$\gamma_t = \iota(X_t)\omega_t$$

Let $\psi_{t,s}$ denotes the time s map of the flow of X_t . $\psi_{0,s} = \text{id} = \psi_{1,s}$ for all s . Denote $\omega_{t,s} := (\psi_{t,s}^{-1})^* \omega_t$. Then $i^* \omega_{t,1} = 0$ for all t , i.e., L is $\omega_{t,1}$ -Lagrangian.

Write $\omega'_t := \omega_{t,1}$. On L , as discussed in Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega'_t &= -d(\rho_t(y) \circ d\pi) + d\theta_t, & w'_0 &= w'_1 \\ i^*\theta_t \in \Omega^1(L) &\text{ is closed, } & \rho_0(y) &= \rho_1(y)\end{aligned}$$

$\phi'_t := \psi_{t,1} \circ \phi_t$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is a homotopy between $id = \phi'_0$ and $\phi = \phi'_1$. $(\phi'_t)^*\omega'_t = w_0$, $\omega'_0 = \omega$, $\omega'_1 = \omega_1$. $\phi'_1(L_0) = L$, L is ω'_t -Lagrangian $\forall t \in [0, 1]$. Note that $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi'_t) = 0$ for all t .

Again, by applying Lemma 2.4 to each of the triples (L, L_0, ϕ'_t) , $t \in [0, 1]$, the homotopy ϕ'_t is perturbed, with L fixed, to a new homotopy ϕ''_t between $\phi''_0 = id$ and $\phi''_1 = \phi$ such that

$$\omega''_t := ((\phi''_t)^{-1})^*\omega \quad \text{equals } \omega \text{ near } L$$

Hence $\omega''_t = \omega + d\eta_t$ with $\eta_0 = 0$ and $\eta_t \in \Omega^1(M)$ closed near L . Note that $\omega''_1 = \omega_1$. By applying the long exact sequence (5) we may assume that

$$\eta_t = 0 \quad \text{near } L.$$

Define the vector field Y_t :

$$\frac{d\eta_t}{dt} = \iota(Y_t)\omega''_t$$

and let ψ_t be the time t map of the flow of Y_t . $\psi_0 = id$, $\psi_t(L) = L$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, and $\psi_1^*\omega = \omega''_1 = \omega_1$. Note that

$$(\psi_1 \circ \phi)^*\omega = \phi^*\psi_1^*\omega = \phi^*\omega_1 = w$$

Then $\Phi_t := \psi_t \circ \phi \in \text{Diff}_o^+(M; L_0 \rightarrow L)$ is an homotopy between $\Phi_0 = \phi$ and $\Phi_1 = \psi_1 \circ \phi \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5 and hence the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

3 A smooth isotopy invariant

3.1 Local construction

Let τ be an area form on a closed oriented Riemann surface L . For $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $k_1 k_2 < 0$, $k_1 \tau \oplus k_2 \tau$ is a symplectic 2-form on $L \times L$. Let $\Delta := \{(x, x) \mid x \in L\}$ denote the diagonal of $L \times L$. Δ is $(k_1 \tau \oplus k_2 \tau)$ -Lagrangian iff $k_1 + k_2 = 0$, $(k_1 \tau \oplus k_2 \tau)$ -symplectic iff $k_1 + k_2 > 0$. By applying Lemma 2.1, there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{N}(L, T^*L) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\Delta, L \times L)$ from a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(L, T^*L)$ of the zero section of T^*L to a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(\Delta, L \times L)$ of $\Delta \subset L \times L$ such that $\phi^*(k_1 \tau \oplus (-k_1) \tau) = \omega_{\text{can}}$.

We can get a second symplectic 2-form on T^*L as follows: By scaling the fibers of T^*L we get a fiber-preserving diffeomorphism $\psi : T^*L \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(L, T^*L)$. Fix constants k_1, k_2 with $k_1 k_2 < 0$ and $k_1 + k_2 > 0$, then the pullback $\omega^+ := (\phi \circ \psi)^*(k_1 \tau \oplus k_2 \tau)$ is a symplectic 2-form.

Fix a Riemannian metric g on T^*L for example, let g be one that is induced by a Riemannian metric on L . Then we get an $S^2 = SO(4)/U(2)$ -bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over T^*L , whose sections are in one-one correspondence with g -skew-adjoint (g -skad) almost complex structures on T^*L . Recall from [4] (p.60–62) that for each symplectic 2-form ω on a Riemannian manifold (M, h) there associates a unique ω -compatible almost complex structure that is also h -skad. Now that we have two linearly independent symplectic 2-forms ω_{can} and ω^+ on (T^*L, g) , each of ω_{can} and ω^+ associates a unique g -skad almost complex structure denoted by J_g, J_{g+} respectively. J_g and J_{g+} , viewed as two sections of $\mathcal{E}$, never intersect, hence the triple sections $(J_g, J_{g+}, J_{g+} \circ J_g)$ defines a trivialization

$$\mathcal{E} \cong S^2 \times T^*L.$$

Let $L' \subset T^*L$ be an embedded ω_{can} -Lagrangian surface. Assume that there exists $\phi \in \text{Diff}_o^+(T^*L)$ such that $\phi(L) = L'$ and ϕ is ω_{can} -symplectic near L , i.e., $\phi^* \omega_{\text{can}} = \omega_{\text{can}}$ in a tubular neighborhood of L . Take a smooth path $\phi_t \in \text{Diff}_o^+(T^*L)$ with $\phi_0 = \text{id}$ and $\phi_1 = \phi$. Define $\omega_t := (\phi^{-1})^* \omega_{\text{can}}$ and $L_t := \phi_t(L)$. We have that L_t is ω_t -Lagrangian, $L_0 = L$, $L_1 = L'$, and $\omega_0 = \omega_{\text{can}}$. Note in particular $\omega_1 = \omega_{\text{can}}$ near L' .

Let J_t denote the ω_t -compatible g -skad almost complex structure. Think of the family L_t , $0 \leq t \leq 1$, as the image of a map $\eta : L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T^*L$. $\eta^* \mathcal{E}$ is trivial S^2 -bundle over $L \times [0, 1]$ with the trivialization induced by $(J_g, J_{g+}, J_{g+} \circ J_g)$. Now the union $J(\eta) := \cup_t \eta^*(J_t|_L)$ is an element of

$$\Gamma(\eta^* \mathcal{E}, J_g) = \{J \in \Gamma(\eta^* \mathcal{E}) \mid J = \eta^* J_g \text{ on } L \times \{0, 1\}\},$$

the set of all C^∞ sections of $\eta^* \mathcal{E}$ which are equal to $\eta^* J_g$ over $L_0 \cup L_1$.

Clearly, a necessary condition for the path L_t homotopic to a ω_{can} -Lagrangian isotopy relative to (L_0, L_1) is that $J(\eta)$ is homotopic to the "constant" section $\eta^* J_g$ in $\Gamma(\eta^* \mathcal{E}, J_g)$, i.e., if $[J]$ is in the connected component of $\pi_0(\Gamma(\eta^* \mathcal{E}, J_g))$ containing $\eta^* J_g$.

We can think of J_g as the north pole $*$ of the 2-sphere of almost complex structures of the given Riemannian metric g . The set $(S^2)^L$ of continuous maps from L to S^2 has a special constant map denoted by $*_L$ which sends L to the point $*$. Then

$$\Gamma(\eta^* \mathcal{E}, J_g) \hookrightarrow (S^2)_o^L$$

where $\mathcal{M} := (S^2)_o^L$ is the connected component of $(S^2)^L$ containing the constant map $*_L$. Let $\Omega\mathcal{M}$ denote the loop space of the pointed space $(\mathcal{M}, *_L)$.

Given $\{f_t\} \in \Omega\mathcal{M}$, i.e., $f_t : L \rightarrow S^2$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is a smooth path in $\mathcal{M}$ with $f_0 = *_L = f_1$. We can associate a map

$$\hat{F} : L \times [0, 1], \quad \hat{F}(\cdot, t) = f_t$$

Since $f_0 = *_L = f_1$, $\hat{F} = F \circ u$ where

$$u := L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X = L \times [0, 1] / L \times \{0, 1\}$$

X is the double cone of L with the two vertices identified, and u is the crushing map that sends $L \times \{0, 1\}$ to the vertex of X , and $u|_{L \times (0, 1)}$ is a homeomorphism. Then each $\{f_t\} \in \Omega\mathcal{M}$ induces a map

$$F : X \rightarrow S^2$$

It is easy to see that if $\{f_t\}, \{f'_t\} \in \Omega\mathcal{M}$ are homotopic, then the corresponding maps $F, F' : X \rightarrow S^2$ are homotopic as continuous maps from X to S^2 .

Think of $J = \{J_t\}$ as a loop $\{f_t\}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and hence a map from $X \rightarrow S^2$, we arrive at the following

Definition 3.1. $n(J)$ is defined to be the corresponding homotopy class in $[X, S^2]$ that is represented by F .

Let $U := L \times (0, 1)$ and $V := X \setminus L \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$. Then $X = U \cup V$. By considering the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of $X = U \cup V$ we get a long exact sequence of homology groups (with $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients)

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_i(U \cap V) \rightarrow H_i(U) \oplus H_i(V) \rightarrow H_i(X) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and get

$$H_1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_2(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}, \quad H_3(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

hence the cohomology groups

$$H^1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \quad H^2(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}, \quad H^3(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

The following lemma is due to Kuperberg [3]:

Lemma 3.1. *The homotopy class of a map F from X to S^2 is described by $c := F^*\sigma$, where $\sigma \in H^2(S^2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the positive generator, and a Hopf degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ where n is the maximal divisor of c .*

Corollary 3.1. *If $L = S^2$, then $[X, S^2] \cong \mathbb{Z}$.*

Example 3.1. Think of S^3 as the reduced suspension of S^2 , then the Hopf map $H : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ gives a loop f_t in $(S^2)_o^{S^2}$ with $f_0 = *_{S^2} = f_1$ and $f_t(p) = *$ for some $p \in S^2$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$. The loop f_t represents a nontrivial element of $\pi_1((S^2)^{S^2})$. More generally, for any degree d map $\Phi_d : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$, the map $H \circ \Phi_d$ induces an element of $\pi_1((S^2)^{S^2})$, and two such elements are distinct if $d_1 \neq d_2$.

Remark 3.1. When the genus of Lagrangian surfaces are positive, $n(L_1, L_0; [L_t])$ indicates the potential existence of symplectomorphisms which are smoothly but not symplectically isotopic to the identity map, and which are *not* generalized Dehn twists. Are there such symplectomorphisms? It will be very interesting if one can construct such an example or disprove the existence.

Note that a priori $n(J) \neq 0$ does not guarantee that L_1 is not ω_{can} -Lagrangian isotopic to L_0 , since in general $n(J)$ depends on the choice of the path L_t .

3.2 Definition of $n(L_1, L_0; [L_t])$

Let $L_t = \phi_t(L_0)$, $\phi_t \in \text{Diff}_o^+(M)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, be a smooth isotopy of embedded surfaces in a symplectic 4-manifold (M, ω) with L_0, L_1 being ω -Lagrangian and $\phi_0 = \text{id}$, $\phi_1^*\omega = \omega$ near L_0 (so L_0, L_1 are symplectomorphic). Fix an w -compatible almost complex structure J_ω and let $g_\omega = \omega \circ (Id \times J)$ be the induced Riemannian metric on M . Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the associated S^2 -bundle over M . Fix a symplectic 2-form ω_+ which is defined near L_0 such that L_0 is ω^+ -symplectic. Define $\omega_t := (\phi_t^{-1})^*\omega$, $\omega_t^+ := (\phi_t^{-1})^*\omega^+$. L_t is ω_t -Lagrangian and ω_t^+ -symplectic. Let J_t (resp. J_t^+) denotes the ω_t -compatible (resp. ω_t^+ -compatible) g_ω -skad almost complex structure on $T_{L_t}M$. Then the triple $(J_t, J_t^+, J_t^+ \circ J_t)$ trivializes the S^2 -bundle $\mathcal{E}|_{L_t} \cong S^2 \times L_t$. Note that $J_t|_{L_t} = J_\omega$ for $t = 0, 1$. With respect to the $(J_t, J_t^+, J_t^+ \circ J_t)$ trivialization, the section $J_\omega|_{L_t}$ becomes a map $L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^2$ with $n(J_\omega, [\phi_t])$ is 0 iff J_ω is homotopic to J_t as a section of $\mathcal{E}|_{L_t}$ with boundary fixed.

Definition 3.2. $n(L_1, L_0; [L_t]) = n(L_1, L_0; [\phi_t]) := n(J_\omega, [\phi_t])$.

The following lemma is a easy consequence of the definition of $n(L_1, L_0; [\phi_t])$.

Lemma 3.2. *If the path $L_t := \phi_t(L_0)$ ($\phi_0 = \text{id}$) is homotopic to a Lagrangian isotopy with boundary $L_0 \cup L_1$ preserved, then $n(L_1, L_0; [\phi_t]) = 0$.*

Question 3.1. Is it true that $n(L_1, L_0; [L_t]) = 0$ implies that the path $L_t := \phi_t(L_0)$ ($\phi_0 = id$) is homotopic to a Lagrangian isotopy with boundary $L_0 \cup L_1$ preserved?

Any answer to the above question will help us better understand the isotopy problem of Lagrangian surfaces. Also, with Theorem 1.1 proved, it will be very important to construct or find examples Lagrangian surfaces (of positive genus) which are diffeomorphic but not symplectomorphic. Any such example will shed some new light on our understanding of symplectic topology. Moreover, by applying the philosophy behind the construction of $\lambda(L, L_0; \phi)$ and $n(L_1, L_0; [L_t])$ to the contact case, we can also define similar invariants for Legendrian knots in contact 3-manifolds, and use them to explore Legendrian isotopy problems [8]. We will come back to these topics later.

References

- [1] Y. Eliashberg and L. Polterovich, *The problem of Lagrangian knots in four-manifolds*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 2, 1997 (Part 1), 313–327.
- [2] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Invariants for Lagrangian tori*, Geom. Topol. 8 (2004), 947–968.
- [3] G. Kuperbergg, *Noninvalutory Hopf algebras*, Duke Math. J. 84 (1996), 83–129.
- [4] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, 1995, 1st edition.
- [5] J. Moser, *On the volume elements on manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1965), 280–296.
- [6] P. Seidel, *Lagrangian two-spheres can be symplectically knotted*, J. Diff. Geom. 52 (1999) no. 1, 145–171.
- [7] A. Weinstein, *Symplectic manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds*, Adv. Math. 6 (1971), 329–46.
- [8] M.-L. Yau, work in progress.